

Self attracting diffusions on a sphere and application to a periodic case*

Carl-Erik Gauthier [†]

September 7, 2015

Abstract

This paper proves almost-sure convergence for the self-attracting diffusion on the unit sphere

$$dX(t) = \sigma dW_t(X(t)) - a \int_0^t \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^n} V_{X_s}(X_t) ds dt, \quad X(0) = x \in \mathbb{S}^n$$

where $\sigma > 0$, $a < 0$, $V_y(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$ is the usual scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n , and $(W_t(\cdot))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion on \mathbb{S}^n . From this follows the almost-sure convergence of the real-valued self-attracting diffusion

$$d\vartheta_t = \sigma dW_t + a \int_0^t \sin(\vartheta_t - \vartheta_s) ds dt,$$

where $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a real Brownian motion.

keywords: reinforced process, self-interacting diffusions, asymptotic pseudo-trajectories, rate of convergence.

MSC 60K35, 60G17, 60J60

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions to the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dX(t) = \sigma dW_t(X(t)) - a \int_0^t \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^n} V_{X_s}(X_t) ds dt, \quad X(0) = x \in \mathbb{S}^n \quad (1)$$

with $\sigma > 0$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $(W_t(\cdot))_t$ is a Brownian motion on the n -dimensional Euclidean unit sphere, $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ is the gradient on \mathbb{S}^n and $V_y(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the canonical scalar product on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

*This work was supported by the Swiss National Foundation Grant FN 200020_149871/1.

[†]Institut de Mathématiques, Université de Neuchâtel, Rue Émile Argand 11, 2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland. Email: carl-erik.gauthier@unine.ch

The motivation for investigating (1) lies in the study of the long time behaviour of the real-valued SDE:

$$d\vartheta_t = \sigma dW_t + a \int_0^t \sin(\vartheta_t - \vartheta_s) ds dt, \quad \vartheta_0 = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $(W_t)_t$ is a real Brownian motion.

Identify ϑ_t to $(\cos(\vartheta_t), \sin(\vartheta_t)) \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and define $d(x, y)$ as the square of the euclidean distance between $(\cos(x), \sin(x))$ and $(\cos(y), \sin(y))$; so that $d(x, y) = 2 - 2\cos(x - y)$. Deriving d with respect to x gives $\partial_x d(x, y) = 2\sin(x - y)$. Therefore, depending on the sign of a , $a\sin(\vartheta_t - y)$ points forward/outward $(\cos(y), \sin(y))$; that is $(\cos(\vartheta_t), \sin(\vartheta_t))$ is attracted by $(\cos(y), \sin(y))$ if $a < 0$ and repelled from $(\cos(y), \sin(y))$ if $a > 0$. Hence, intuitively, $(\cos(\vartheta_t), \sin(\vartheta_t))$ should turn around the circle if $a > 0$ and it should converge to some point if $a < 0$.

Concerning the case $a > 0$, it is proved in the preprint [3] of the author in collaboration with M.Benaïm that

Theorem 1 *The law of*
 $(\cos(\vartheta_t), \sin(\vartheta_t))$

converges to the uniform law on the circle.

This paper intends to prove that the intuition concerning the attractive case (when $a < 0$) is true. The first main result of this paper is the following result.

Theorem 2 *If $a < 0$, there exists a random variable X_∞ such that $|\vartheta_t - X_\infty| = O(t^{-1/2} \log^{\gamma/2}(t))$, with $\gamma > 1$.*

In 1995, M.Cranston and Y. Le Jan proved a convergence result in [4] in the cases where $a\sin(x)$ is replaced by ax (linear case) or $a \times \text{sgn}(x)$, with $a < 0$ (constant case); this last case being extended in all dimension by O.Raimond in [11] in 1997. A few years later, S.Herrmann and B.Roynette weakened the condition of the profile function f around 0 and were still able to get almost sure convergence (see [6]) for the solution to the stochastic differential equation

$$d\vartheta_t = \sigma dW_t + \int_0^t f(\vartheta_t - \vartheta_s) ds dt. \quad (3)$$

Rate of convergence were given in [7] by S.Herrmann and M.Scheutzow. For the linear case, the optimal rate is proven and turns out to be $O(t^{-1/2} \sqrt{t})$.

However, a common fundamental property of these three papers lies in the fact that the associated profile function f is monotone.

We point out that self interacting diffusion involving periodicity has already received some attention in 2002 by M.Benaïm, M.Ledoux and O.Raimond ([1]), but in the normalized case; that is, when $\int_0^t \sin(X_t - X_s) ds$ is replaced by $\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sin(X_t - X_s) ds$. The interpretation is therefore different. While the drift term of (2) can be “seen” as a summation over $[0, t]$ of the interaction between

the current position X_t and its position at time s and thus an *accumulation of the interacting force*, their drift is then the *average of the interacting force*. The asymptotic behaviour is then given by the following Theorem.

Theorem 3 (Theorem 1.1, [1], Benaïm, Ledoux, Raimond) *Let $(\vartheta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a solution to the SDE*

$$d\vartheta_t = dW_t + \frac{c}{t} \int_0^t \sin(\vartheta_t - \vartheta_s) ds dt,$$

with initial condition $\vartheta_0 = 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Set $X_t = \vartheta_t \bmod 2\pi \in \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and defined the normalized occupation measure

$$\mu_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \delta_{X_s} ds.$$

1. *If $c \geq -1$, then $\{\mu_t\}$ converges almost surely (for the topology of weak* convergence) toward the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{S}^1 \sim [0, 2\pi]$, $\lambda(dx) = \frac{dx}{2\pi}$.*
2. *If $c < -1$, then there exists a constant $\beta(c)$ and a random variable $\varsigma \in [0, 2\pi[$ such that $\{\mu_t\}$ converges almost surely toward the measure*

$$\mu_{c,\varsigma}(dx) = \frac{\exp(\beta(c) \cos(x - \varsigma))}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \exp(\beta(c) \cos(y)) \lambda(dy)} \lambda(dx).$$

An intermediate framework between those considered in Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 is to add a time-dependent weight $g(t)$ to the normalized case that increases to infinity when time increases, but “not too fast”. In that case, O.Raimond proved the following Theorem

Theorem 4 (Theorem 3.1, [12], Raimond) *Let $(\vartheta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the solution to the SDE*

$$d\vartheta_t = dW_t - \frac{g(t)}{t} \int_0^t \sin(\vartheta_t - \vartheta_s) ds dt, \quad (4)$$

where g is an increasing function such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty$, there exists positives c, t_0 such that for $t \geq t_0$, $g(t) \leq a \log(t)$ and $|g'(t)| = O(t^{-\gamma})$, with $\gamma \in]0, 1]$. Set $X_t = \vartheta_t \bmod 2\pi$.

Then, there exists a random variable X_∞ in \mathbb{S}^1 such that almost surely, $\mu_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \delta_{X_s} ds$ converges weakly towards δ_{X_∞} .

Interpreting ϑ_t as an angle also provide the link between (1) and (2). Indeed, for $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^n$, one has

$$\|x - y\|^2 = 2 - 2\langle x, y \rangle = 2 - 2\cos(D(x, y)), \quad (5)$$

where $D(.,.)$ is the geodesic distance on \mathbb{S}^n . Therefore, Theorem 2 follows from the more general Theorem

Theorem 5 *If $a < 0$, there exists a random variable $X_\infty \in \mathbb{S}^n$ such that*

$$\|X(t) - X_\infty\| = O(t^{-1/2} \log^{\gamma/2}(t)),$$

with $\gamma > 1$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is the standard Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

We emphasize that Theorems 1, 3 and 4 are particular cases from more general results proved in the respective papers (Theorem 5 in [3], Theorem 4.5 in [1] and Theorem 3.1 in [12]).

1.1 Reformulation of the problem

From now on, we assume that $a < 0$ and that n is fixed. Since the values of σ and a do not play any particular role, we assume without loss of generality that $\sigma = 1$ and $a = -1$. Thus (1) becomes

$$dX(t) = \sigma dW_t(X(t)) + \int_0^t \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^n} V_{X_s}(X_t) ds dt, \quad X(0) = x \in \mathbb{S}^n \quad (6)$$

where $V_y(x) = \langle x, y \rangle =: V(y, x)$. Since V satisfies Hypothesis 1.3 and 1.4 in [1], then (6) admits a unique strong solution by Proposition 2.5 in [1]. We emphasize that equation (2) admits a unique strong solution because the function $\sin(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous (see for example Proposition 1 in [6]). We shall begin by clarifying the two quantities that appear in (6). Firstly, by Example 3.3.2 in [8], we have

$$dW_t(X(t)) = dB(t) - X_t \langle X_t, \circ dB_t \rangle, \quad (7)$$

where \circ stands for the Stratonovitch integral and $(B(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a $(n+1)$ dimensional Brownian motion.

Secondly, for a function $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^n}(F|_{\mathbb{S}^n})(x) = \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} F(x) - \langle x, \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} F(x) \rangle x; \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^n. \quad (8)$$

Hence equation (6) rewrites

$$dX(t) = dB(t) - X(t) \langle X(t), \circ dB(t) \rangle + \int_0^t (X_s - \langle X_t, X_s \rangle X_t) ds dt, \quad (9)$$

Following the same idea as in [3], we set $U_t := \int_0^t X(s) ds \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ in order to get the SDE on $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$:

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) = dB(t) - X(t) \langle X(t), \circ dB(t) \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} U_j(t) [e_j - X_j(t) X(t)] dt \\ dU(t) = X(t) dt \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

with initial condition $(X(0), U(0)) = (x, 0)$ and e_1, \dots, e_{n+1} stand for the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

A first property is

Lemma 6 $d(\|U(t)\|^2) = 2\langle U(t), X(t) \rangle dt$, where $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the standard Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Itô's Formula. ■

The paper is organised as follow. In Section 2, we present the detailed strategy used for proving Theorem 5 whereas the more technical proofs are presented in Section 3.

2 Guideline of the proof of Theorem 2

Set $R_t = \|U(t)\|$ and define $V_t \in \mathbb{S}^n$ and $\Theta_t \in [-1, 1]$ as follows:

$$V(t) = \begin{cases} U(t)/R_t & \text{if } R_t > 0 \\ X(t) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\Theta_t = \langle V_t, X(t) \rangle \quad (12)$$

Since $(\int_0^t \langle V(s) - \Theta_s X(s), dB(s) \rangle)_{t \geq 0}$ is a real valued martingale, then by the Martingale representation Theorem by a Brownian motion (see Theorem 5.3 in [5]), there exists a real valued Brownian motion $(W_t)_t$ such that

$$\int_0^t \langle V(s) - \Theta_s X(s), dB(s) \rangle = \int_0^t \sqrt{1 - \Theta_s^2} dW_s. \quad (13)$$

Lemma 7 $((\Theta_t, R_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to

$$\begin{cases} dY_t = \sqrt{1 - Y_t^2} dW_t + [(r_t + \frac{1}{r_t})(1 - Y_t^2) - \frac{n}{2} Y_t] dt \\ dr_t = Y_t dt \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

whenever $R_t > 0$.

Proof. From Lemma 6, we deduce

$$dR_t = \Theta_t dt. \quad (15)$$

Applying Itô's Formulae to $\langle U(t), X(t) \rangle$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U(t), X(t) \rangle &= t + \int_0^t (\|U(s)\|^2 - \langle U(s), X(s) \rangle^2) ds + \int_0^t \langle U(s) - \langle X(s), U(s) \rangle X(s), \circ dB_s \rangle. \\ &= \int_0^t (1 - \frac{n}{2} \langle X(s), U(s) \rangle) ds + \int_0^t (\|U(s)\|^2 - \langle U(s), X(s) \rangle^2) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle U(s) - \langle X(s), U(s) \rangle X(s), dB_s \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since $\langle U(t), X(t) \rangle = R_t \Theta_t$, we have by Itô's Formulae

$$d\Theta_t = \frac{1}{R_t} (d(\langle U(t), X(t) \rangle) - \Theta_t dR_t). \quad (17)$$

Combining (13), (15) and (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\Theta_t &= \left(\frac{1}{R_t} - \frac{n}{2} \Theta_t + R_t(1 - \Theta_t^2) \right) dt - \frac{\Theta_t^2}{R_t} dt + \langle V(t) - \Theta_t X(t), dB(t) \rangle \\ &= \left[\left(R_t + \frac{1}{R_t} \right) (1 - \Theta_t^2) - \frac{n}{2} \Theta_t \right] dt + \sqrt{1 - \Theta_t^2} dW_t \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

■ A first important result, whose proof is postponed to Section 3, is

Lemma 8 *We have $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_t}{\sqrt{t}} \geq 1$ almost-surely.*

From this Lemma, we prove in Section 3

Lemma 9 *We have that $(\Theta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\frac{R_t}{t})_{t > 0}$ converge almost surely to 1. Furthermore the rate of convergence is $O(t^{-1} \log^\gamma(t))$, with $\gamma > 1$.*

From (15) and the definition of $U(t)$, it follows from Itô's Formulae

$$dV_t = \frac{1}{R_t} (X(t) - \Theta_t V_t) dt. \quad (19)$$

Lemma 10 *V_t converges almost surely.*

Proof. Since

$$\|X(t) - \Theta_t V_t\| = \sqrt{1 - \Theta_t^2}, \quad (20)$$

it follows from (19) that

$$\frac{1}{R_t} \|X(t) - \Theta_t V_t\| = O(t^{-3/2} \log^{\gamma/2}(t)), \quad (21)$$

which is an integrable quantity. ■

We can now prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 2.

By Lemmas 9 and 10, there exists a random variable $X_\infty \in \mathbb{S}^n$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_t V_t = X_\infty$. The rate of convergence follows from the triangle inequality, (20), (21) and Lemma 9.

3 Proofs of Lemma 8 and Lemma 9

3.1 Proof of Lemma 8.

Set $M_t = -2 \int_0^t \langle U(s) - \langle X(s), U(s) \rangle X(s), dB_s \rangle$. Then its quadratic variation is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M \rangle_t &= 4 \int_0^t \|U(s) - \langle X(s), U(s) \rangle X(s)\|^2 ds. \\ &= 4 \int_0^t (\|U(s)\|^2 - \langle U(s), X(s) \rangle^2) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

So, from (16) and Lemma 6, we obtain

$$\exp(-2(\langle X(t), U(t) \rangle + \frac{1}{4}R_t^2)) = \exp(-2t) \exp(M_t - \frac{1}{2} \langle M_t \rangle), \quad (23)$$

Since

$$\langle M \rangle_t \leq 4 \int_0^t \|U(s)\|^2 ds \leq 8 \int_0^t \|U(0)\|^2 + s^2 ds = 8(\frac{t^3}{3} + \|U(0)\|^2 t), \quad (24)$$

M_t satisfies the Novikov Condition (see [10], Chapter V, section D, page 198). Therefore

$$\mathcal{E}_M(t) := \exp(M_t - \frac{1}{2} \langle M \rangle_t)$$

is a martingale having 1 as expectation.

Thus

$$\mathbb{E}(\exp(-2(\langle X(t), U(t) \rangle + \frac{1}{4}R_t^2))) = \exp(-2t). \quad (25)$$

Consequently,

$$\exp(-2(\langle X(t), U(t) \rangle + \frac{1}{4}R_t^2)) = \exp(-2(R_t \Theta_t + \frac{1}{4}R_t^2))$$

converges almost surely to 0 by the Markov inequality and the Borel-Cantelli Lemma. Furthermore, for all $0 < c < 2$, there exists a random variable T such that almost surely

$$\exp(-2(R_t \Theta_t + \frac{1}{4}R_t^2)) \leq \exp(-ct), \quad \forall t \geq T. \quad (26)$$

Hence, for $t \geq T$,

$$R_t \Theta_t + \frac{1}{4}R_t^2 \geq \frac{c}{2}t.$$

Therefore, by choosing $c = 1$, we obtain

$$R_t \geq -1 + \sqrt{t} \text{ for } t \geq T. \quad (27)$$

This concludes the proof.

3.2 Proof of Lemma 9.

Before starting the proof of Lemma 9, let us recall the Definition of an *asymptotic pseudotrajectory* introduced by Benaïm and Hirsch in [2].

Definition 11 Let (M, d) be a metric space and Φ a semiflow; that is

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times M \rightarrow M : (t, x) \mapsto \Phi(t, x) = \Phi_t(x)$$

is a continuous map such that

$$\Phi_0 = Id \text{ and } \Phi_{t+s} = \Phi_t \circ \Phi_s$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

A continuous function $X : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow M$ is an asymptotic pseudotrajectory for Φ if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq h \leq T} d(X(t+h), \Phi_h(X(t))) = 0 \quad (28)$$

for any $T > 0$. In words, it means that for each fixed $T > 0$, the curve $X : [0, T] \rightarrow M : h \mapsto X(t+h)$ shadows the Φ -trajectory over the interval $[0, T]$ with arbitrary accuracy for sufficiently large t .

If X is a continuous random process, then X is an almost-surely asymptotic pseudotrajectory for Φ if (28) holds almost-surely.

Theorem 12 (Theorem 1.2 in [2]) Suppose that $X([0, \infty))$ has compact closure in M and set $L(X) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \overline{X([t, \infty))}$. Let A be an attractor for Φ with basin W . If $X_{t_k} \in W$ for some sequence $t_k \rightarrow \infty$, then $L(X) \subset A$.

The following result gives a sufficient condition between a SDE on \mathbb{R} and the related ODE when the diffusion term vanishes.

Theorem 13 (Proposition 4.6 in [2]) Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz function and $\sigma : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function. Assume there exists a non-increasing function $\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\sigma^2(t, x) \leq \varepsilon(t)$ for all (t, x) and such that

$$\forall k > 0, \int_0^\infty \exp(-k/\varepsilon(t)) dt < \infty^1. \quad (29)$$

Then, all solution of

$$dx_t = g(x_t)dt + \sigma(t, x_t)dB_t$$

is with probability 1 an asymptotic pseudotrajectory for the flow induced by the ODE $\dot{X}(t) = g(X(t))$. Furthermore, for all $T > 0$, there exist constant $C, C(T) > 0$, such that for all $\beta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq h \leq T} |x_{t+h} - \Phi_h(x_t)| \geq \beta) \leq C \exp(-(\beta C(T))^2 / \varepsilon(t)). \quad (30)$$

Remark 14 The same result holds if $(x_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is solution to the SDE

$$dx_t = g(x_t)dt + \sigma(t, x_t)dB_t + \delta(t)h(x_t)dt,$$

where h is a bounded function and δ is a non-negative function with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta(t) = 0$. In that case, for all $T > 0$, there exist constant $C, C(T, h) > 0$, such that for all $\beta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq h \leq T} |x_{t+h} - \Phi_h(x_t)| \geq \beta) \leq C \exp(-(\beta - \sup_{s \in [t, t+T]} \delta(s))^2 C(T, h) / \varepsilon(t)). \quad (31)$$

¹For example $\varepsilon(t) = O(1/(\log(t))^\alpha)$ with $\alpha > 1$.

Proof of Lemma 9:

The proof is divided into two parts.

Proof of the convergence:

First we prove that Θ_t converges almost surely to 1. Recall that

$$d\Theta_t = \sqrt{1 - \Theta_t^2} dW_t + [(R_t + \frac{1}{R_t})(1 - \Theta_t^2) - \frac{n}{2}\Theta_t]dt. \quad (32)$$

Define $\alpha(t) = (\frac{3}{2}t)^{\frac{2}{3}}$ so that $\dot{\alpha}(t) = \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t)$. Set $Z_t := \Theta_{\alpha(t)}$ and $M_t = W_{\alpha(t)}$. Thus $(M_t)_t$ is a martingale with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{G}_t = \sigma\{W_s \mid 0 \leq s \leq \alpha(t)\}$, whose quadratic variation at time t is $\alpha(t) = \int_0^t (\sqrt{\dot{\alpha}(s)})^2 ds$. Then by the Theorem of Martingale Representation by a Brownian motion (see Theorem 5.3 in [5]), there exists a Brownian motion $(B_t^{(\alpha)})_t$ adapted to $(\mathcal{G}_t)_t$ such that

$$M_t = \int_0^t \sqrt{\dot{\alpha}(s)} dB_s^{(\alpha)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t &= \int_0^{\alpha(t)} \sqrt{1 - \Theta_s^2} dW_s + \int_0^{\alpha(t)} (R_s + \frac{1}{R_s})(1 - \Theta_s^2) ds - \frac{n}{2} \int_0^{\alpha(t)} \Theta_s ds \\ &= \int_0^t \sqrt{\dot{\alpha}(s)} \sqrt{1 - Z_s^2} dB_s^{(\alpha)} - \int_0^t \frac{R_{\alpha(s)} + \frac{1}{R_{\alpha(s)}}}{\sqrt{\dot{\alpha}(s)}} ds - \frac{n}{2} \int_0^t \dot{\alpha}(s) Z_s ds, \end{aligned}$$

For $y \in [-1, 1]$, let $(Y_t^y)_{t \geq 0}$ be the solution to the SDE taking values in $[-1, 1]$

$$\begin{cases} dY_t^y = \sqrt{\dot{\alpha}(t)} \sqrt{1 - (Y_t^y)^2} dB_t^{(\alpha)} + [\frac{1}{2}(1 - (Y_t^y)^2) - \frac{n}{2}\dot{\alpha}(t)Y_t^y]dt \\ Y_0^y = y \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

We divide the proof of the convergence in two steps. In the first one, we prove for all $y \in [-1, 1]$, Y_t^y converges almost surely to 1; and then prove the convergence of Z_t to 1 in the second one.

Step I: Let $y \in [-1, 1]$. In order to lighten the notation, we omit the superscript y in Y_t^y in this step. We start by proving that Y_t is an asymptotic pseudotrajectory for the flow induced by the ODE

$$\dot{x} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - x^2). \quad (35)$$

In order to achieve it, we use Theorem 13. Since $x \mapsto (1 - x^2)$ is Lipschitz continuous on $[-1, 1]$ and that $Z_t \in [-1, 1]$ for all $t \geq 0$, it remains to prove the hypothesis concerning the noise term.

Set

$$\varepsilon(t) := \dot{\alpha}(t) = (\frac{3}{2}t)^{-\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (36)$$

We have to show that $\varepsilon(t)$ satisfies (29). But this is immediate because for all $k > 0$

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-kt^{1/3}) dt < \infty. \quad (37)$$

Since $Y_t \in [-1, 1]$ for all $t \geq 0$, it is clear that the condition in Remark 14 is satisfied. Consequently, by Theorem 13, $(Y_t)_t$ is an asymptotic pseudotrajectory of (35).

Because $\{1\}$ is an attractor for the flow induced by (35) with basin $] -1, 1]$ and that almost-surely $Y_t \in] -1, 1]$ infinitely often, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Y_t = 1 \text{ a.s.} \quad (38)$$

Step II: Our goal is to prove

$$\mathbb{P}(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t = 1) = 1. \quad (39)$$

Define the stopping times $\tau_0 = 0$,

$$\tau_j = \inf(t > \sigma_j \mid \frac{R_{\alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}} = \frac{1}{2}), \quad j \geq 1 \quad (40)$$

and

$$\sigma_j = \inf(t > \tau_{j-1} \mid \frac{R_{\alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}} = \frac{3}{4}), \quad j \geq 1. \quad (41)$$

By Lemma 8, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{j \geq 1} \{\tau_j = \infty\}) = 1 \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(\sigma_j < \infty \mid \tau_{j-1} < \infty) = 1. \quad (42)$$

Let start by computing $\mathbb{P}(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t = 1, \tau_j = \infty)$. For $s \in [\sigma_j, \tau_j]$, we have

$$\frac{R_{\alpha(s)} + \frac{1}{R_{\alpha(s)}}}{\sqrt{\alpha(s)}} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

So, by a comparison result (see Theorem 1.1, Chapter VI in [9]),

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_{(t+\sigma_j) \wedge \tau_j} \geq Y_{(t+\sigma_j) \wedge \tau_j}^{Z_{\sigma_j}}, \forall t \geq 0) = 1. \quad (43)$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t = 1, \tau_j = \infty, \sigma_j < \infty) &\geq \mathbb{P}(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Y_{t+\sigma_j}^{Z_{\sigma_j}} = 1, \tau_j = \infty, \sigma_j < \infty) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\tau_j = \infty, \sigma_j < \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where the last equality follows from Step I. Because $\{\sigma_j = \infty\} \subset \{\tau_j = \infty\}$, it follows from (42)

$$\mathbb{P}(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t = 1, \tau_j = \infty) = \mathbb{P}(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t = 1, \tau_{j-1} = \infty) + \mathbb{P}(\tau_j = \infty, \sigma_j < \infty). \quad (45)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t = 1, \tau_j = \infty) &= \sum_{k=1}^j \mathbb{P}(\tau_k = \infty, \sigma_k < \infty) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\tau_j = \infty).\end{aligned}\tag{46}$$

Since $(\{\tau_j = \infty\})_{j \geq 0}$ is an increasing family of event, we obtain from (42)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t = 1) &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t = 1, \tau_j = \infty) \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau_j = \infty) \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}\tag{47}$$

Consequently, Θ_t converges a.s to 1. Therefore,

$$\frac{R_t}{t} = \frac{1}{t}(R_0 + \int_0^t \Theta_s ds)\tag{48}$$

converges a.s to 1.

Proof of the rate of convergence:

In view of the previous part, it suffices to determine the rate of convergence to 1 of Y_t^y , where $\alpha(t)$ is now $\alpha(t) = \sqrt{2t}$.

For $c > 0$, let $(Y_c^y(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be the solution to the SDE

$$(SDE(c, y)) \begin{cases} dY_c^y(t) = \sqrt{c}\sqrt{\dot{\alpha}(t)}\sqrt{1 - (Y_c^y(t))^2}dB_t^{(\alpha)} + [\frac{1}{2}(1 - (Y_c^y(t))^2) - \frac{n}{2}\dot{\alpha}(t)Y_c^y(t)]dt \\ Y_c^y(t) = y \end{cases}\tag{49}$$

with $\alpha(t) = \sqrt{2t}$. Note that Y_t^y is a strong solution to $SDE(1, y)$. Let $(\varphi(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be the solution to the SDE

$$\begin{cases} d\varphi(t) = \sqrt{n\dot{\alpha}(t)}d\bar{B}_t - \frac{1}{2}\sin(\varphi(t))dt \\ \varphi(0) = \varphi_0 \end{cases}\tag{50}$$

where $(\bar{B}_t)_t$ is a real Brownian motion. Then $(\cos(\varphi(t)))_{t \geq 0}$ is a weak solution to $SDE(n, \cos(\varphi_0))$. So, if $|\varphi(t)| = O(\Delta(t))$, then by a Taylor expansion, $1 - \cos(\varphi(t)) = O(\Delta^2(t))$.

Since for all $c > 0$, $Y_1^y(t)$ and $Y_c^y(t)$ converge to 1 with a similar rate (by using the fact that $c\dot{\alpha}(t) = \dot{\alpha}(\frac{t}{c^2})$), it suffices to find out the rate of $Y_n^y(t)$.

The goal now consists on identifying such a function $\Delta(\cdot)$. We proceed in three steps. First, we assume that there exists a positive decreasing function δ that converges to 0 such that

- i. for all $\nu > 0$ and $0 < \kappa < 1$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta(t) \exp(\nu t) = \infty$ and there exists $0 < c(\kappa) < \infty$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta(\kappa t)}{\delta(t)} = c(\kappa)$,

- ii. for all $T > 0$, $\sup_{0 \leq h \leq T} |\varphi(t+h) - \Phi_h(\varphi(t))| = O(\delta(t))$, where Φ is the flow induced by the ODE $\dot{x} = -\frac{1}{2}\sin(x)$

and prove that $|Z_t| = O(\delta(t))$. Next, we prove the existence of such a function δ and conclude the proof in the last step.

Step I: Let $T > 0$. From the convergence of $\cos(\varphi(t, \omega))$ to 1, there exists $t_1(\omega)$ such that, without loss of generality, $\varphi(t, \omega) > 0$ for all $t > t_1(\omega)$. Since $|\sin(x)| \geq \frac{2}{\pi}x =: \lambda x$ for $x \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, then for $x \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$,

$$0 \leq |\Phi_h(x)| \leq e^{-\lambda h}|x|.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t+T)| &\leq |\varphi(t+T) - \Phi_T(\varphi(t))| + |\Phi_T(\varphi(t))| \\ &\leq \delta(t) + e^{-\lambda T}|\varphi(t)|. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Set $y_k := |\varphi(kT)|$, $\delta_k = \delta(kT)$ and $\gamma := e^{-\lambda T} < 1$. Thanks to (51), we have

$$y_{k+1} \leq \delta_k + \gamma y_k. \quad (52)$$

Hence

$$y_{k+r} \leq \gamma^k y_r + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \delta_{k+r-j} \gamma^j. \quad (53)$$

By assumption i., $\delta_k \gamma^{-k}$ converges to ∞ . Thus

$$y_k = O(\delta_k). \quad (54)$$

For $kT \leq t \leq (k+1)T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t)| &\leq |\Phi_{t-kT}(\varphi(kT)) - \varphi(t)| + |\Phi_{t-kT}(\varphi(kT))| \\ &\leq \delta_k + e^{-\lambda(t-kT)} y_k \\ &= O(\delta_k). \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Hence,

$$|\varphi(t)| = O(\delta(t)).$$

Step II: Let $T > h > 0$. From Theorem 13, there exists constants $C, C(T)$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq h \leq T} |\varphi(t+h) - \Phi_h(\varphi(t))| > \beta) \leq C \exp(-\frac{(\beta C(T))^2}{n \dot{\alpha}(t)}). \quad (56)$$

Defining $\beta_{\gamma, T}(t) := \frac{1}{C(T)}(\dot{\alpha}^{1/2}(t) \log^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}(1+t))$, with $\gamma > 1$, we get

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq h \leq T} |\varphi(t+h) - \Phi_h(\varphi(t))| > \beta_{\gamma, T}(t)) \leq C \exp(-\log^{\gamma}(1+t)) \quad (57)$$

Since

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-\log^\gamma(1+t))dt < \infty \quad (58)$$

we deduce by the Borel-Cantelli Lemma that almost-surely

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq h \leq T} |\varphi(t+h) - \Phi_h(\varphi(t))| &= O(\beta_{\gamma,T}(t)) \\ &= O(\dot{\alpha}^{1/2}(t) \log^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}(t)) \\ &= O(t^{-1/4} \log^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Step III: Since $\alpha(t) = \sqrt{2t}$, it is clear that assumptions i. and ii. are satisfied. So, from Steps I and II,

$$|\varphi_t| = O(t^{-1/4} \log^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}(t)). \quad (60)$$

Therefore,

$$|Y_t| = O(t^{-1/2} \log^\gamma(t)). \quad (61)$$

Consequently,

$$|Z_t| = O(t^{-1/2} \log^\gamma(t)). \quad (62)$$

and so

$$|\Theta_t| = O(t^{-1} \log^\gamma(t)). \quad (63)$$

4 Conclusion

The motivating model of this work was the real-valued self-attracting diffusion

$$dX_t = \sigma dW_t + a \int_0^t \sin(X_t - X_s) ds dt, \quad X_0 = 0.$$

Seeing it as an angle, it turned out that the almost sure convergence of X_t was an immediate consequence of the more general diffusion on the n -dimensional unit sphere \mathbb{S}^n

$$dX(t) = \sigma dW_t(X(t)) - a \int_0^t \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^n} V_{X_s}(X_t) ds dt, \quad X(0) = x \in \mathbb{S}^n$$

with $V_y(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$.

It would now be interesting to study the self-reinforced diffusion

$$dX_t = \sigma dW_t + \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k \int_0^t \sin(k(X_t - X_s)) ds dt,$$

where the coefficient $a_k \neq 0$ are such that $\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 a_k < 0$.

Because $\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 a_k = (\sum_{k=1}^n k a_k \sin(k \cdot))'(0)$ and that it has to play a more and more important role if $(X_t)_t$ localizes, it sounds reasonable to formulate the following conjecture:

Conjecture 15 Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the solution to the SDE

$$dX_t = \sigma dW_t + \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k \int_0^t \sin(k(X_t - X_s)) ds dt, \quad X_0 = x.$$

If $\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 a_k < 0$ (resp. $\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 a_k > 0$), then X_t converges almost-surely (resp. $\limsup_t X_t > \liminf_t X_t$).

A Almost sure convergence for the linear case

Since $\sin(x) \sim x$ on a small neighbourhood of 0, the aim to this appendix is to show that the rate of convergence that we obtain is quasi-optimal.

Proposition 16 Let X_t be the solution of the SDE

$$dX_t = -\lambda X_t dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon(t)} dB_t, \quad (64)$$

with initial condition $X_0 = x$. $(B_t)_t$ stands for a real Brownian motion and $\lambda > 0$. Assume that $\varepsilon(\cdot)$ is a non-increasing positive continuous function such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(t) = 0$.

$$\text{Set } \sigma_t^2 = e^{-2\lambda t} \int_0^t e^{2\lambda s} \varepsilon(s) ds.$$

1. If $\int_0^t e^{2\lambda s} \varepsilon(s) ds < \infty$, then $|X_t| = O(e^{-\lambda t})$

2. If $\int_0^t e^{2\lambda s} \varepsilon(s) ds = \infty$, then $|X_t| = O(\sigma_t \sqrt{\log(t)})$. In particular,

(a) If $\varepsilon(t) = O(e^{-2\alpha t})$ with $\alpha < \lambda$, then $\sigma_t^2 = O(e^{-2\alpha t})$

(b) If $\varepsilon(t) = O(t^{-\alpha})$, $\alpha > 0$, then $\sigma_t^2 = O(\varepsilon(t))$

Proof. We assume without loss of generality that $\varepsilon(0) < \infty$. The solution of Equation (64) is

$$X_t = \exp(-\lambda t) \left(x + \int_0^t \exp(\lambda s) \sqrt{\varepsilon(s)} dB_s \right) =: \exp(-\lambda t) (x + M_t). \quad (65)$$

The quadratic variation of M_t is then

$$\langle M \rangle_t = \int_0^t \exp(2\lambda s) \varepsilon(s) ds.$$

For the first assertion of the proposition, we then have that $t \mapsto M_t$ is bounded. The conclusion follows from (65).

Concerning the second statement, we have, by the Dubins-Schwarz Theorem (see Theorem 4.6 in [10]) with the law of Iterated Logarithm for Brownian motion (see Theorem 9.23, Chapter 2 in [10]),

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|M_t|}{\sqrt{2 \langle M \rangle_t \log \log \langle M \rangle_t}} = 1 \quad (66)$$

almost surely. Thus $\frac{|M_t|}{\sqrt{2\langle M \rangle_t \log \log \langle M \rangle_t}}$ is bounded.

Since $\langle M \rangle_t \leq \frac{\varepsilon(0)}{2\lambda}(\exp(2\lambda t) - 1)$, we have

$$\log \log \langle M \rangle_t = O(\log(t)). \quad (67)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2\langle M \rangle_t \log \log \langle M \rangle_t} \exp(-\lambda t) &= \sqrt{\exp(-2\lambda t) \langle M \rangle_t} \sqrt{2 \log \log \langle M \rangle_t} \\ &= O(\sigma_t \sqrt{\log(t)}). \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Part (2.(a)) follows from the definition of σ_t^2 . For part (2.(b)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-2\lambda t) \int_0^t \exp(2\lambda s) \varepsilon(s) ds &= \exp(-2\lambda t) \int_0^{t-\sqrt{t}} \exp(2\lambda s) \varepsilon(s) ds \\ &\quad + \exp(-2\lambda t) \int_{t-\sqrt{t}}^t \exp(2\lambda s) \varepsilon(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon(0)}{2\lambda} \exp(-2\lambda t) (\exp(2\lambda t - 2\lambda\sqrt{t}) - 1) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \exp(-2\lambda t) \varepsilon(t - \sqrt{t}) \exp(2\lambda t) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \exp(-2\lambda t) \varepsilon(t - \sqrt{t}) \exp(2\lambda t - 2\lambda\sqrt{t}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-2\lambda t) \langle M \rangle_t &\leq \frac{\varepsilon(0)}{2\lambda} (\exp(-2\lambda\sqrt{t}) - \exp(-2\lambda t)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \varepsilon(t - \sqrt{t}) (1 - \exp(-2\lambda\sqrt{t})). \end{aligned}$$

Because for all $\beta \geq 0$, $(t - \sqrt{t})^\beta$ is equivalent to t^β when $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the existence of a constant C such that

$$\exp(-2\lambda t) \langle M \rangle_t \leq C^2 \varepsilon(t) \quad (69)$$

for t large enough.

■

Remark 17 To ensure almost sure convergence to 0, the maximal noise intensity has to be $\varepsilon(t) = O(1/\log(t)^\alpha)$, with $\alpha > 1$.

A direct consequence of Proposition 16.2.ii is

Corollary 18 If $\varepsilon(t) = (1+t)^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha > 0$, then the solution $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of (64) satisfies $|X_t| = O(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\log(t)})$.

Acknowledgement

I thank my PhD advisor Michel Benaïm for the very useful related discussions and comments on previous versions of this work, Ioana Ciotir for her proofreading of the first version and Olivier Raimond for stimulating discussion and his suggestion to consider the case of the sphere in all dimensions. Finally, I thank the anonymous referee for all the comments made on a previous version.

References

- [1] Benaïm, M., Ledoux, M., Raimond, O. : *Self-interacting diffusions* , Probab. Theory Relat. Fields. Vol 122, Issue 1: 1-41. (2002).
- [2] Benaïm, M., Hirsch, M.W.: *Asymptotic Pseudo-Trajectories and Chain-recurrent flows, with Applications* , Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations. Vol 8, No 1 pp 141-174. (1996).
- [3] Benaïm, M., Gauthier, C.E.: *Self repelling diffusions on a Riemannian manifolds*, preprint available on arXiv1505.05664 (2015)
- [4] Cranston, M., Le Jan, Y.: *Self attracting diffusions : Two case studies*, Math. Ann. 303(1): 87-93 (1995)
- [5] Doob, J.L.: *Stochastic Processes*, John Wiley, New-York, 1953
- [6] Herrmann, S., Roynette, B.: *Boundedness and convergence of some self-attracting diffusions*, Math. Ann. 325(1): 81-96 (2003)
- [7] Herrmann, S., Scheutzow, M., *Rate of convergence of some self-attracting diffusions*, Stochastic Processes and their Applications 111 (1): 41-55 (2004).
- [8] Hsu, Elton P., *Stochastic Analysis on Manifold*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [9] Ikeda, N., Watanabe, S.: *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*, North Holland, New-York, 1981
- [10] Karatzas, I., Shreve, S.E.: *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, second edition, 1991
- [11] Raimond, O.: *Self-attracting diffusions : Case of the constant interaction*, Probab. Theor. Relat. Fields 107, Issue 2: 177-196 (1997)
- [12] Raimond, O.: *Self-interacting diffusions: a simulated annealing version*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 144 (1-2): 247-279 (2009)